

## Jessica Lynch

---

**From:** Barbara Archer  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 17, 2021 12:52 PM  
**To:** Jessica Lynch  
**Subject:** FW: Agenda #4 Draft Housing Elements

---

**From:** Jacqueline Ames <jacquelineames@consultant.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021 2:11 PM  
**To:** City Council Members <CityCouncilMembers@cityofdavis.org>  
**Subject:** Agenda #4 Draft Housing Elements

**CAUTION: External email. Please verify sender before opening attachments or clicking on links.**

Hello,

I would like to add my objections to the YIMBY recommendations.

- 1) No area should be exempted from Measure J vote. I oppose the 'end-run' around Measure J proposal
- 2) Single Family homes should remained zoned as single family. I reject the rezoning of the single family home into 2, 3 or 4-plexs. This will be disastrous to our community.
- 3) The city needs to keep their parking minimums.
- 4) Infill projects are sensitive to their specific location and should require public [input](#). So I oppose trying to exclude public input for infill projects.
- 5) ADU cost calculations should be sourced from what the Council staff recommends, SACOG. If consulting groups disagree, then the responsibility should fall on them to appropriately research and explain and justify any differences.

I hope you will vote down the draft Housing Element proposal.

Thank you

Jacqueline Ames

632 Oak Avenue, Davis

On 6/9/21, 6:31 PM Jacqueline Ames <[jacquelineames@consultant.com](mailto:jacquelineames@consultant.com)> wrote:

I would like to request that the Planning Commission reject the following proposals and encourage UCD to grow their own housing On-CAMPUS for their faculty and student needs.

- 1) Reject-- An "end-run" around Measure J (now Measure D).
- 2) Reject-Allowing single family units to be replaced with a 2-, 3- or 4- plex unit. This will be disastrous to our community. It is amazing loud and disruptive living near a multi-media dorm complex. I have 1st hand

experience having had multiple property damage due to late night party attendees.

3) Reject- Eliminating the 1% growth rate which helps maintain a slow, managed growth rate. There is absolutely not reason to eliminate the 1% growth cap.

4) Reject- A recommendation advocating for even more housing units beyond the 2,075 RHNA units assigned to Davis.

5) Reject- The proposal to demolished the neighborhood retail centers and to use the parking lots to shoe-horn in high density housing.

6) Keep the existing parking minimums.

7) We need to encourage public input at all times when reviewing housing and zoning changes.

Thank you  
Jacqueline Ames  
632 Oak Avenue  
Davis 95616